SUPREME COURT MINUTES WEDNESDAY, MAY 3, 2000 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

The Supreme Court of California reconvened in the courtroom of the Earl Warren Building, 350 McAllister Street, Fourth Floor, San Francisco, California, on May 3, 2000, at 9:00 a.m.

Present: Chief Justice Ronald M. George, presiding, and Associate Justices Mosk, Kennard, Baxter, Werdegar, Chin, and Brown.

Officers present: Frederick K. Ohlrich, Clerk; and Harry Kinney, Supreme Court Marshal.

S085212 James W. Obrien et al., Petitioners

v.

Secretary of State Bill Jones et al., Respondents

Cause called. James M. Wagstaffe argued for Petitioners. Manuel Medeiros, Sr. Assistant Attorney General, argued for

Respondents.

Mr. Wagstaffe replied.

Cause submitted.

S077706 The People, Respondent

v.

Joe Willy Hill, Appellant

Cause called. Lora Fox Martin, Deputy Attorney General, argued for Respondent.

Jay Moller argued for Appellant.

Ms. Martin replied.

Cause submitted.

S078689 The People, Respondent

v.

Melvin E. Hanson, Appellant

Cause called. Mark D. Greenberg argued for Appellant.

Kyle S. Brodie, Deputy Attorney General, argued for

Respondent.

Mr. Greenberg replied.

Cause submitted.

Court recessed until 1:30 p.m. this date.

Court reconvened pursuant to recess.

Members of the Court and Officers present as first shown.

S078243 The People, Appellant

V.

Luis Miranda, Respondent

Cause called. Victoria Stafford opened argument for

Respondent.

Barbara Fargo, appearing for Amicus Curiae California Public Defenders Association, continued argument for Respondent.

Shirly Sun, Deputy Los Angeles District Attorney, argued for Appellant.

Ms. Stafford replied.

Cause submitted.

S075720 The People, Respondent

v.

Cayetano Calderon Camacho, Appellant

Cause called. Gary Lieberman, Deputy Attorney General, argued for Respondent.

J. Courtney Shevelson argued for Appellant.

Mr. Lieberman replied.

Cause submitted.

Court adjourned.

S078712 People ex rel. Department of Transportation, Respondent

Southern California Edison Company, Appellant

The time for granting or denying a rehearing in the above cause is hereby extended to and including July 19, 2000, or the date upon which a rehearing is either granted or denied, whichever occurs first.

Orders were filed in the following matters extending the time within which to grant or deny a petition for review to and including the date indicated, or until review is either granted or denied:

A081424/S086456 People v. Felix Hightower – June 2, 2000.

A081994/

A082265/S086354 People v. Dupriest Brown – June 5, 2000.

B120620/S086553 People v. Timothy Peoples – June 5, 2000.

B126536/S086499 People v. Alford Ian Bloison – June 6, 2000.

B128909/S086380 John Doe et al. v. Los Angeles Unified School District – June 1, 2000. This order is entered nunc pro tunc as of May 2, 2000, due to clerical error.

B128936/S086472 People v. David Victor Zaragoza – June 6, 2000.

B129601/S086518 Dart Industries Inc. v. Commercial Union Insurance – June 2, 2000.

B130229/S086471 People v. Gabriel Ulloa – June 5, 2000.

B133005/S086533 In re Ricardo A.; People v. Ricardo A. – June 6, 2000.

C030853/S086372 People v. Kenneth Dwayne Spangler – June 1, 2000. This order is entered nunc pro tunc as of May 2, due to clerical error.

D030055/S086618	American Renovation & Construction Company v. Sundt Corporation et al. – June 6, 2000.
E022925/S086473	Kenneth Henderson et al. v. Tom Minor – June 5, 2000.
E024320/S086475	Kenneth J. Henderson et al. v. Economic Development Agency – June 5, 2000.
F028473/S086481	People v. Chad Melvin Mancebo – June 5, 2000.
F029675/S086438	People v. William Vera – June 6, 2000.
F030345/S086552	People v. Gregorio Garza – June 2, 2000.
H021020/S086547	Marriott International v. Workers' Compensation Appeals Board et al. – June 6, 2000.

S032509 People, Respondent

v.

Erik Sanford Chatman, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to and including July 11, 2000.

S073709 In re Ward Francis Weaver, Jr.

on

Habeas Corpus

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is extended to and including June 27, 2000.

S083305 People, Respondent

V.

Michael Thomas Cheek, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's answer brief on the merits is extended to and including May 5, 2000.

S081120 In re Gerald Frank Stanley

on

Habeas Corpus

The "Request for Documents," filed April 10, 2000, is GRANTED.

S084028 People, Respondent

V.

Cameron Hughes, Appellant

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Cheryl Lutz is hereby appointed to represent appellant on his appeal now pending in this court.

S085576 People, Respondent

v.

Christopher S. Hyun, Appellant

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Ellen M. Matsumoto is hereby appointed to represent appellant on his appeal now pending in this court.

SUPREME COURT CALENDAR LOS ANGELES SESSION JUNE 5 and 6, 2000

The following cases are placed upon the calendar of the Supreme Court for hearing at its courtroom in the Ronald Reagan State Office Building, 300 South Spring Street, 3rd Floor, North Tower, Los Angeles, California on June 5 and 6, 2000.

MONDAY, June 5, 2000 - 9:00 A.M.

S079760 S070018 S008621	Marriage of Bonds Marriage of Pendleton [George, C.J. not participating; Hastings, J., assigned Justice Pro Tempore] People v. Manuel Mendoza [Automatic Appeal]	
1:30 P.M.		
S071728	Barstow v. Mojave Water Agency [Werdegar, J. not participating; Johnson, J., assigned Justice Pro Tempore]	
S019798	People v. Christopher Clark Box [Automatic Appeal]	
S068704	In re Gossage on Admission	
TUESDAY, June 6, 2000 - 9:00 A.M.		
S059827	Alvarado v. Superior Court, County of Los Angeles; People	
S079190	Collection Bureau of San Jose v. Rumsey	
S081186	Stroud v. Superior Court, County of Los Angeles; People	

_____GEORGE____ Chief Justice

If exhibits are to be transmitted to this Court, counsel must comply with Rule 10(d), California Rules of Court.